

*

ITEM NO.36

REGISTRAR COURT.1

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9000-9001/2012

B.N. DEVARAJ

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA BY SPL.LAND.ACN OFR&O

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 23393-23394 of 2012

(With office report)

Date: 23/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Muthu Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Madhusmita Bora, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv

Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) Nos.9000-9001/2012

On the last date, the learned counsel for the petitioner has made a submission at Bar that the petitioner in SLP(C) No.9000/2012 has passed away and that he would be taking necessary steps for substitution but today, the learned counsel for the petitioner in the other connected matter i.e., SLP(C)No.23393-23394/2012, has made a submission at Bar that

Item No.36

-2-

their petitioner is very much alive and in fact he is respondent No.2 in the second batch of matters viz., SLP(C)No.23393-23394/2012.

The learned counsel for the petitioner to clear the ambiguity by the next date, failing which further necessary orders shall be passed. Similarly, respondent No.2 in the present batch of matters is reported to have passed away and no steps for substitution have been taken till date. Final chance is granted for the same. Necessary steps, as per rules be taken.

Respondent No.1 is granted three weeks' time, as final chance, for filing counter affidavit on record.

SLP(C) No.23393-23394/2012

The learned counsel for respondent No.1 to file the necessary proof of service of the statement of objection filed on record on the other side by the next date. Final chance is granted for the same.

The learned counsel for the petitioner to take necessary steps for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent Nos.2 and 3 on record by the next date.

It appears from the previous order on the last date, SLP(C) Nos.16663-16664 and 16667/2012 were also listed before

Item No.36

-3-

this Court and in fact a specific direction was passed against the sole respondent that he is proceeded ex parte and further necessary orders would be passed as and when the other connected matters would be come ready.

Registry to take note and submit a necessary report by the next date.
List again on 23.10.2013.

(M.A. SAYEED)
REGISTRAR

rd