

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

Nos.21602/2011

(From the judgment and order dated 06.09.2010 in G.S.T.R. NO.17 of 2005 passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh)

STATE OF HARYANA
Petitioner(s)

Petitio

VERSUS

M/S SHRI RAM INDUSTRIES, FARIDABAD
Respondent(s)

Respond

(Office Report on Default)

Date : 21/08/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Naresh Bakshi ,Adv. (Not Present)

For Respondent(s)

The Court made the following
O R D E R

By order dated 21.01.2014, the petitioner was granted four weeks' more time on the condition that he would take steps and deposit a sum of Rs.500/- as cost in the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee, but learned counsel for the petitioner has neither taken any fresh steps for service of notice on the unserved sole respondent nor filed proof of deposit of Rs.500/- as cost in the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee so far.

Today, none appears to represent the petitioner.

Hence, the special leave petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Sanjay Kumar
Date: 2014.08.23
13:09:08 IST
Reason:

(SANJAY KUMAR)

(SAROJ SAIN

I)
COURT MASTER
ER

COURT MAST