

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SWrit Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 407/2026

ASSOCIATION OF BSF RULE-19 VICTIMS 96-97 Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Respondent(s)

Date : 16-04-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLIFor Petitioner(s) :Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Sangal, AOR
Ms. Richa Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Mrinalini Tandon, Adv.
Ms. Kashish Tewatia, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. The petitioners in this writ petition have earlier approached the Kerala High Court, wherein the High Court has disposed of the writ petition with a liberty to submit a representation to the competent authority, and that representation is directed to be decided by a reasoned order. The competent authority has rejected the petitioners' claim for pension, especially in light of an earlier judgment of this Court in *Raj Kumar & Ors. v. Union of India*, (2006) 1 SCC 737. The petitioners have now approached this Court under Article 32 of the Constitution.

2. In our considered opinion, the petitioners' association having chosen to ventilate its grievance firstly before the High Court,

the appropriate recourse would be to challenge the reasoned order before the High Court.

3. With liberty aforementioned, the writ petition stands disposed of with pending application(s), if any.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR