

Crl.A.No. 1202-1204 OF 1995  
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
ITEM No.106 Court No. 8 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS.1202-1204 OF 1995@@  
CC

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAM DHANI & ORS. Respondent (s)

Date : 31/10/2002 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

For Appellant (s) Mr. Devesh Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Pramod Swarup, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Ms. Lalita Kohli, Adv.  
M/s. Manoj Swarup & Co.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

The learned counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that those of the respondents in these appeals who were awarded life sentence by the trial court and were acquitted by the High Court have since served more than 11 years of the imprisonment awarded by the trial court and other respondents who were convicted under section 148 IPC and sentenced to undergo for three years have all served their sentences in full. Therefore, no useful purpose in equity will be served by entertaining these appeals against the acquittal. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant-State seeks two weeks' time to ascertain this fact. Adjourned by two weeks.

.SP1

(Pawan Kumar)  
Court Master

(Prem Prakash)  
Court Master