

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Crl. Appeal No.1202-1204/1995

State of U.P.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

Ram Dhani & Ors.

Respondent(s)

Date : 03/12/2002 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

For Appellant (s) Mr. Devesh Singh,Adv.
Mr. Pramod Swarup,Adv.

For respondent(s) Ms.Lalita Kohli,Adv.
M/s Manoj Swarup & Co.,Advs.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

L.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Learned counsel for the parties argued the
matter for five minutes.
The appeals are dismissed in terms of the
signed order.

.SP1

(Ganga Thakur)
P.S.to Registrar

(Shelly Sen Gupta)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

.PA

.PL66

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. 1202-1204 OF 1995@@
CC

State of U.P.

..... Appellant

-Versus-

Ram Dhani & Ors.

..... Respondent

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCCCC

L.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

These appeals filed by the State of U.P. are of the year 1995. In the application filed by the respondents for bail on 22nd of January,1996, it was pointed out that most of the respondents are very old and respondent Nos. 1,3,4 and 5 have already completed the terms of sentence awarded to them by the courts below and rest of the respondents viz., respondent Nos. 8,9 and 10 have already served imprisonment for more than 11 years. Taking these facts into consideration and that these being appeals against acquittal, we think that at this length of time there are not fit appeals in which this Court should interfere with the findings of the acquittal arrived at by the High Court. Therefore, in the interest of justice without going to the merits of the appeals, we dismiss these appeals.

.SP1

.....J.
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)

New Delhi,
December 3, 2002

.....J.
(B. P. SINGH)

.SP1