

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(Civil)...CC 5594/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 24/02/2000 in RA 103/97
of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

N. LAKSHMI AMMAL & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

K. SESHADIRI
(With Appln(s). for c/delay in refiling SLP)

Respondent (s)

Date : 03/01/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P. BHARUCHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

For Petitioner (s) Mr. S. Balakrishnan, Sr.Adv.
Ms. N.Annapoorani,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP1

Delay condoned.

Learned counsel for the petitioners advances only the
following argument:

The amendment of the plaint to claim possession was allowed
without any qualification in 1980. This meant that the claim for
possession related back to the date of the filing of the suit, that is
to say, 1978. In these circumstances, the order under challenge was
not right in saying that possession could not be awarded because that
relief was barred by time.

Issue notice restricted to the aforestated ground.

.SP1

(N. Annapurna)
Court Master

(Shelly Sengupta)
Court Master