

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.5

SECTION III-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 2627-2628/2012

KAMAL &amp; ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

GAJRAJ &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 36705/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 36704/2022 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

IA No. 10188/2022 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

IA No. 133641/2022 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

IA No. 78800/2022 - VACATING STAY)

WITH

C.A. No. 2604-2605/2012 (III-A)

C.A. No. 6486-6487/2012 (III-A)

Date : 08-02-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI  
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI

For Appellant(s)

Mr. S. R. Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sushant Kumar Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Yadav, Adv.  
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, AORMr. S. R. Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Prithvi Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav Lomes, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Mangal Prasad, Adv.  
Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, AORMr. S. D. Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Bharti Tyagi, AOR  
Mr. Siddharth Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Kartikay Bhargava, Adv.  
Mr. Ram Kripal Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Jitender Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Meenu Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Shweta Sinha, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ajay Veer Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Uday Ram Bokadia, Adv.  
Ms. Divya Garg, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Nishtha Chaturvedi, Adv.  
Mr. Atit Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Sonal Jain, AOR

Mr. Sumit Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Ronak Karanpuria, AOR

Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, AOR

Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, AOR  
Mr. Wrick Chatterjee, Adv.  
Ms. Aditi Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Randhir Kumar Ojha, AOR  
Mr. Kaushal Kishore, Adv.  
Mr. Rajiv Kumar Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Ashish Kumar Sinha, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

IA No. 36704/2022, IA No. 10188/2022 and IA No. 133641/2022  
(APPLICATIONS FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

These interlocutory applications have been filed by 164 applicants collectively seeking impleadment as party - respondents in the present proceedings. From the record, it reveals that they were not the parties throughout the proceedings from the initial allotment and even at later stages.

That apart, the allotment, which is in question, is in reference to Village Falaida, which is a part of State of Uttar Pradesh. The present applicants who are claiming that the very land allotted to the present appellants belongs to the applicants herein is part of Village Solra, which is in the State of Haryana.

After we have heard learned counsel for the applicants, we do not find any reason to grant them liberty to participate in the present proceedings, to which they are stranger. Consequently, the present applications are dismissed.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties in the civil appeals.

Arguments concluded.

Judgment reserved.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(VIRENDER SINGH)  
BRANCH OFFICER