

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s).13358/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment/order dated 16-02-2026 in IA No.1/2026 in WP No.23360/2025, judgment/order dated 16-02-2026 in WP No.23360/2025 passed by the High Court for The State of Telangana at Hyderabad]

V. RAVI KUMAR

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

M/S. HANUMAN TYRES & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 79338/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION (SLP/TP/WP/..)

Date : 16-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Raj, AOR

Mr. Rudra Raj Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. G.K. Deshpande, Adv.

Mr. A.V.S. Raju, Adv.

Mr. Akash Kakade, Adv.

Mr. Somanatha Padhan, AOR

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

- 1. Petitioner challenges the judgment and order dated 16.02.2026 in IA No.1/2026 in WP No.23360/2025 and judgment and order dated 16.02.2026 in WP No.23360/2025 passed by the High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.**
- 2. Permission to file the Special Leave Petitions is granted.**

3. We are informed that the petitioner herein assailed the order of the Debt Recovery Tribunal putting the property to auction, which proceedings were disposed of on the ground that the Bank itself recalled the sale certificate. However, it appears that sales were not closed and taken to its logical end with the passing of the impugned judgment by the High Court. We are also informed that the instant petitioner has independently challenged the inaction on the part of the Bank in not passing any order on the offer made by the petitioner on One Time Settlement (OTS).

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record, we are of the considered view that the ends of justice would be best met with the disposal of the present petitions on the following terms:

(i) It shall be open for the petitioner to pursue the proceedings for revival of the appeal filed before the Debt Recovery Tribunal in which the petitioner had challenged the auction proceedings/sale;

(ii) The courts below/authority shall decide the matter expeditiously, uninfluenced by the observations made by the High Court in the impugned judgment and order, and in accordance with law;

(iii) Needless to add, the sale subject matter of the instant *lis*, shall be subject to the final outcome of such proceedings; and

(iv) All contentions are left open.

5. Accordingly, the Special Leave Petitions are disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(D. NAVEEN)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANU BHALLA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)