

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).17472/2005

(From the impugned order dated 25/05/2005 in CM No. 6798/2005 in WP No. 10697/2004 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

SIYA RAM & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and office report)

WITH I.A.Nos. 204-205, 206 & 207 in Civil Appeal NO. 560 of 1998

Sodan Singh Vs. N.D.M.C. & Ors.

(With appln.(s) for directions and impleadment and directions and office report)

(For final disposal)

S.L.P.(C)...../2006 (CC NO. 7760)

With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and substitution and office report)

Ramesh Kumar and Ors. Vs. New Delhi Municipal Counsel

Date: 14/11/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Suresh Chandra Tripathy, Adv.

Mr. P.H.Parekh, Adv.

Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, Adv.

For M/s P.H.Parekh & Co., Adv.

Ms. Indra Sawhney

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rakesh K. Khanna, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

Mrs. Rashmi Khanna, Adv.

Ms. Khanvi Woraha, Adv.

Mrs. Shelja Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Indu Malhotra

Mr. M.M.Kashyap, Adv.

-2-

Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

Mr. S.C.Patel, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

S.L.P.(C) No.17472 of 2005

Counsel for the N.D.M.C. states that he will be filing a

Counter

Affidavit in the course of the day after serving a copy thereof on Counsel for

the petitioner. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks

thereafter.

Put up thereafter.

I.A.NO.204-205 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO.560 OF 1998

No one is present on behalf of the applicant.

Put up on the next date of hearing.

I.A.No.206 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO.560 OF 1998

Put up on the next date of hearing.

I.A.No.207 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO.560 OF 1998

Counsel for the applicant states that at present they are facing no

difficulty and they are carrying on their business on the allotted space.

However, he apprehends that some adverse action may be taken.

Looking to the facts as stated by counsel appearing on behalf of the

applicant, this application is premature and is dismissed as such.

-3-

Needless to say that if any action adverse to the interest of the

applicant is taken, he will seek remedy in accordance with law.

S.L.P.(C) NO...../2005 (CC NO.7760)

Delay condoned.

Counsel for the petitioners state that the matter is under consideration

of the Government. Counsel for the N.D.M.C. states that there is n
o such

matter under consideration of the N.D.M.C.'

In view of the statement made by the Counsel for the petitioners, this
Special Leave Petition is disposed of.

However, if the matter is being considered by the Committee appointed
by the Government as stated, it may consider the same in accordance with law.

No orders on the application for substitution.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)

(Vijay Dhawan)

Court Master

Court Master