

\216/  
C.A.No. 4479-4502 OF 1999  
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
ITEM NO. 106 COURT NO. 7 SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4479-4502/1999

Manipal Power Press .. Appellant (s)

Vs.

Workmen of Manipal Power Press & Ors. .. Respondent(s)

( With appln. for bringing on record )  
With I.A. Nos. 1-24 ( Applns. for bringing on record the  
Annexures P-5 & P-6 and permitting the pektitioner to urge  
additional grounds

DATE : 15.3.2001 : This/These matter (s) was/were  
called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA

For Appellant (s) : Dr. Rajeev Dhawan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent (s) : Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J  
.SP2

The appeals shall stand disposed of in terms of the  
signed order.

.SP1

Charanjit [ Om Prakash ]  
Court Master

[ Signed order is placed on the file ]

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4479-4502/1999@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Manipal Power Press .. Appellant

Vs.

Workmen of Manipal Power Press & Ors. .. Respondents

O\_R\_D\_E\_R@@  
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....J  
.SP2

A reference was made to the Industrial Tribunal under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act ( For short the'Act') on the following question :

.....L.....I.....J  
.SP1

"(1) Are the 64 workmen as detailed in the Annexure appended to this Government Order working in the Manipal Power Press, Manipal represented by the Manipal Printers and Publishers employees Association, Udupi justified in demanding that they should also to be considered as employees (Newspaper employees) of the Manipal Publishers and Printers (Private) Limited, Manipal from the date of their appointments with all consequential benefits of arising out of various Wage Board recommendations and awards for Journalists;  
2) If not, to what other relief these employees are entitled."

.....L.....I.....J  
.SP2

A complaint was made under Section 33-A of the Act stating that during pendency of that industrial dispute referred under Section 10 their conditions of service have been altered by transferring from the composing Section and job work Section to the binding section and changing from night shift to day shift with a view to deprive the workmen of all the benefits of the Bachawat award.

The Tribunal examined the matter and set aside the order of transfer made by the appellant and ;directed to post the said workmen back to the composing Section. Aggrieved by that award a writ petition was preferred before the High Court unsuccessfully. Contention has been raised by the appellant that it had not been made a party to the industrial dispute that had been referred to the Tribunal.

Inasmuch as an award has now been passed on the reference made under Section 10 of the Act and the rights of the parties are governed therein and the order made by the Tribunal in the complaint arising under Section 33 A of the Act was only in respect of a complaint of change of conditions of service by transferring from one section to another section and that action having been set aside, we do not think any useful purpose will be served by examining the various contentions raised by the parties. The appeals shall stand disposed of noticing this position. It is needless to say that it is open to the parties to urge any of the legal contentions that are available to them in any appropriate forum.

.SP1

.....J@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA  
[ S. RAJENDRA BABU ]@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA  
[ S.N. VARIAVA ] @@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi, @@  
AAAAAAAAAA  
March 15, 2001 @@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAAA