

ITEM NO.58

COURT NO.6

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2013
CC 9759/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 09/01/2013 in SA No.511/2012, of The
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, BENCH AT LUCKNOW)

MAHENDRA KUMAR YADAV & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.SECY.MAHILA EVAM BAL V &ORS Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 29/04/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Pradeep Kant, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anurag Kishore, Adv.
Mr. Divyanshu Sahaj, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Mr. Pradeep Kant, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that his counter part had argued before the learned Single Judge as well as before the Division Bench of the High Court that it was not the duty of the petitioners to work as Typist on the promotional post and the petitioners are not required to know typing at all. However, the High Court did not consider this aspect of their argument.

The proper remedy for the present petitioners is that the petitioners may file a Review Petition before the High Court if such argument had been advanced on their behalf. The High Court may consider their case in accordance with law, if a Review Petition is filed.

The Special Leave Petition is dismissed with the aforesaid observations. It is clarified that we have expressed no opinion on merits of the case.

| (Jayant Kumar Arora)
| Sr. P.A.

| | (M.S.Negi)
| | Court Master

|

|