

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.3

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No(s).4052/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 08/02/2013
in BA No. 16/2013 passed by the High Court Of Judicature at
Bombay)

SANJAY TUKARAM NANDE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

(With Office Report)

Date : 08/08/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ajay G. Majithia, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

Mr. Ajay G. Majithia, learned counsel appearing for

the respondent-State submits that a charge-sheet against

the

petitioner has already been filed in the jurisdictional court.

In

that view, therefore, we see no reason why order dated 30th August,

2013, by which we had granted interim bail to the petitioner,

should not be made absolute.

Signature Not Verified

We accordingly make the said order

Digitally signed by
Mahabir Singh
Date: 2014.08.08

absolute with the direction that the trial court shall expedite

18:39:57 IST

Reason:

the hearing and disposal of the matter uninfluenced by the fact

that the petitioner has deposited, in this court for disbursement

2

to the families/legal heirs of the victims, a certain amount of compensation.

At this stage, we are informed by learned counsel for the petitioner that while a substantial part of the amount deposited by the petitioner has already been disbursed to the families/legal heirs of the victims concerned, in terms of the order issued by this Court, a sum of Rs.4,10,000/- (Rupees four lakhs ten thousand) remains available for disbursement. If that be really so, we see no reason why the said amount should also not be disbursed to the families/legal heirs of the victims, in the proportion we have directed the earlier disbursement. The trial court concerned shall accordingly do the needful in this regard.

The special leave petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER