

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11877/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 25/03/2009 in CR No.1664/2009
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

SATBIR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMAN & ANR. Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 15/05/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Himanshu Gupta,Adv.
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the
impugned order.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

...2/-

-2-

S.L.P. (C) No.11877/2009:

The petitioner is, however, granted time till 28th
February, 2010, to vacate the premises in question upon
filing usual undertaking in this Court within four weeks
from today.

It is directed that in case the petitioner fails to
vacate the premises in question within the aforesaid time, it
would be open to the decree holder to file an execution
petition for delivery of possession and in case such a petition
has been already filed, an application shall be filed therein
to the effect that the petitioner has not vacated the premises
in question within the time granted by this Court. In either
eventuality, the Executing Court is not required to issue any
notice to the petitioner. The Executing Court will see that
delivery of possession is effected within a period of fifteen
days from the date of filing of the execution petition or the

application aforementioned. In case for delivery of possession any armed force is necessary, the same shall be deputed by the Superintendent of Police within forty eight hours from the date requisition is received therefor. It is also directed that in case anybody else, other than the petitioner, is found in possession, he shall also be dispossessed from the premises in question.

Needless to say that if the respondents feel aggrieved by this part of the order, it shall be open to them to seek its recall.

[Alka Dudeja]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master