

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6083 OF 2003

BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

TELECOM REGULATORY AUT. OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay)

Date: 27/07/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. C.S.Vaidyanathan, Sr. Adv.
Mr.Naveen Chawla, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
order. No costs.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla) (Indu Satija)
Court Master Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6083 OF 2003

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.

..Appellant

versus

Telecom Regulatory Auth. of India & Anr.

..Respondents

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned

interim order dated 3rd March, 2003 passed by the Telecom Disputes Settlement & Appellate Tribunal, New Delhi (for short 'TDSAT') passed in M.A. No.42 of 2002 in Appeal No. 3 of 2002.

It appears that TDSAT passed an interlocutory order dated 31.1.2002. Subsequently, it appears that the appeal itself pending before the TDSAT has been finally disposed of.

It is well settled that once the proceedings are finally disposed of, all interim orders come to an end and, in fact, merge into the final order. An interim order does not decide anything finally between the parties. It is only an interim protection till the case is finally disposed of between the parties, and once the case itself is

-2-

finally disposed of, all interim orders come to an end.

Accordingly, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order and dismiss this appeal. No costs.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;
JULY 27, 2010

.....J.
[T.S. THAKUR]