

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Criminal Appeal No 823 of 2012

Pappu @ Mukesh

.... Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Madhya Pradesh

....Respondent(s)

ORDER

- 1 Upon being mentioned, the appeal is taken on board.
- 2 Counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant states that a decision has been taken by the State Government to release the appellant on 26 January 2023. However, he submits that the appellant is required to withdraw the appeal as a condition precedent to the order of release.
- 3 Hence, on this ground, on the request of counsel, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.
- 4 In the event that the appellant encounters any further difficulty in the matter of his release, liberty is granted to apply for the restoration of the appeal.

.....CJI
[Dr Dhananjaya Y Chandrachud]

.....J.
[V Ramasubramanian]

.....J.
[J B Pardiwala]

**New Delhi;
January 23, 2023
-S-**

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s).824/2012

JAKIR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(Only Criminal Appeal No 823 of 2012 was mentioned today)

WITH

Crl.A. No. 823/2012 (II-A)

Date : 23-01-2023 Criminal Appeal No 823 of 2012 was mentioned today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALAFor Appellant(s) Mr. Ambuj Agarwal, Adv. (mentioned by)
Mr. Nitin Singh, Adv.
Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, AOR
Mr. T. Mahipal, AORFor Respondent(s) Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR
Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AORUPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RCrl.A. No. 823/2012

- 1 Upon being mentioned, the appeal is taken on board.
- 2 The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the signed order.
- 3 Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR