

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION(C) NO.2350 OF 1997
IN
TRANSFERRED CASE NO. 168 OF 1988

A.S. Narasimha Dikshitulu ..Petitioner

versus

State of Andhra Pradesh and others ..Respondents

WITH

REVIEW PETITION(C) NO.2375 OF 1997
IN
TRANSFERRED CASE(C) NO. 170 OF 1988

WITH

REVIEW PETITION(C) NO. D18695 OF 1997
IN
WRIT PETITION(CIVIL) NO. 696 OF 1987

O R D E R

REVIEW PETITION(C) NO.2350 OF 1997

This petition was filed in 1997 for re-consideration of the judgment in A.S. Narayana Deekshitulu v. State of Andhra Pradesh and others (1996) 9 SCC 548.

During the pendency of the petition, Legislature of the State of Andhra Pradesh amended the Principal Act, i.e., Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and endowments Act, 1987 (for short, 'the 1987 Act') and restored the status of Archakas as was obtaining prior to the enactment of 1987 Act.

In view of the above development, this petition is disposed of as infructuous leaving the questions raised in the main petition open to be decided in an appropriate case.

It is also made clear that this order shall not affect the Reference made vide judgment in A. Ramaswamy Dikshitulu v. Government of Andhra Pradesh and others reported in (2004) 4 SCC 661. Review Petition(C) No.2375 of 1997

Shri G. Umapathy, learned counsel for the review petitioner

states that his client may be permitted to withdraw the review petition with liberty to make appropriate representation to the State Government.

The request of the learned counsel is accepted and the review petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty in terms of the prayer made.

Review Petition(C) No. D.No.18695 of 1997

Shri P.S. Narasimha, learned senior counsel for the review petitioner states that his client may be permitted to withdraw the review petition with liberty to make appropriate representation to the State Government.

The request of the learned senior counsel is accepted and the review petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty in terms of the prayer made.

.....J.
[G.S. SINGHVI]

.....J.
[H.L. GOKHALE]

NEW DELHI;J.
FEBRUARY 19, 2013 [RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI]

ITEM NO.103 COURT NO.3 SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 2350 OF 1997 IN T.C.(C) 168/1988

A S NARASIMHA DIKSHITULU Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A P & ORS Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions)

WITH

R.P.(C) NO. 2375 of 1997 in T.C. No. 170 of 1988

R.P.(C) D NO. D18695 of 1997 in W.P. No.696/1987

(With appln(s) for permission and substitution and c/delay)

Civil Appeal NO. 994 of 2007(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 995 of 2007(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 996 of 2007(With office report)

Date: 19/02/2013 These Petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.S. Narsimha, Sr. Adv.
in RP 2350/1997 Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.
Mr. Gaichangpou angmei, Adv.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. G. Umapathy, Adv.
in RP 2375/1997

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.S. Narsimha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

For Appellant(s) Mr. Vinay Navare, Adv.
in CA 994/2007 Mr. Satyajeet Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Punam Kumari, Adv.

Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kharde, Adv.

Ms. Anagha Desai, Adv.
Mr. Somnath Padhan, Adv.

Ms. Anjani Aiyagari, Adv.

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Review Petition No. 2350 of 1997 is disposed of as
infructuous in terms of the signed order.

Review Petition No. 2375 of 1997 and Review Petition(C)
No.D18695 of 1997 are dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the signed
order.

As requested by learned counsel for the parties, list Civil
Appeal Nos.994 of 2007, 995 of 2007 and 996 of 2007 on 21.02.2013.

| (Parveen Kr.Chawla)
| Court Master
|

| | (Phoolan Wati Arora)
| | Court Master
| |

|
|
|

[signed order is placed on the file]