

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO(S). 16583/2013
(ARISING OUT OF IMPUGNED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 19/03/2013
IN WA NO. 409/2013 PASSED BY THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM)

SABU K. JACOB

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 20/04/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. P. George Giri, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.
Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.
Ms. Daisy Hannah, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have taken note of the statements made on behalf
of the respondent Bank in the additional affidavit dated
10th April, 2015, filed pursuant to the Court's order dated
30th March, 2015, particularly the amount which is claimed
by the Bank to be due from the petitioner as on 31 st March,
2015.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Vinod Lakhina
Date: 2015.04.21
17:25:16 IST
Reason:

Page No.1 of 2

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, prays
for liberty to withdraw this Special Leave Petition and
instead move the respondent Bank under and in terms of an
One Time Settlement Scheme (OTS) which is claimed to be
presently in force in the Bank. According to the
petitioner's counsel, under the said OTS the petitioner, in
fact, is entitled to a refund. The petitioner is permitted

to do so i.e. to move the Bank.

Accordingly, the Special Leave Petition is closed on withdrawal with liberty as aforesaid. We make it clear that we have expressed no opinion on the merits of the contentions of the petitioner as also on the Special Leave Petition.

[VINOD LAKHINA]
COURT MASTER

[ASHA SONI]
COURT MASTER