

@  
ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.6

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRLMP.NO. 21278/2013 in CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1440 OF 2013

VINOD JOSHI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND

Respondent(s)

(For suspension of sentence and office report)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1529 OF 2013

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 996 OF 2013

(WITH APPLN. FOR BAIL AND OFFICE REPORT)

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 673 OF 2013

(WITH OFFICE REPORT)

Date: 01/11/2013 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

For the parties

Dr. Rajeev Dhawan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Mohan Pandey, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Kulshrestha, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. A.S. Kulshrestha, Adv.  
Mr. Lalit Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. M.K. Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. H.P. Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, Adv.

Mr. Chandra Shekhar, Adv.  
Mr. Prabhat Rai, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

CrI.M.P.21278/2013 in CrI.A. 1440 of 2013

We have heard learned counsel for the parties on the question of bail to the appellant Vinod Joshi and we direct that the appellant Vinod Joshi shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial

Court, during the pendency of Criminal Appeal before this Court.

In rest of the Criminal Appeals, we are not inclined to grant bail to the appellants at this stage and we therefore reject their prayer for bail. However, in case, their appeals are not disposed of by this Court within a period of one year from today, they are at liberty to renew their prayer for bail.

(G. SUDHAKARA RAO)  
COURT MASTER

(SHARDA KAPOOR)  
COURT MASTER