

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

CRLMP. 11852/2015, CRLMP. 12903/2015 in Criminal Appeal
673/2013

No(s).

VIJAY SAHU

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND & ORS. Respondent(s)
(For deletion of proforma respondents and office report)

Date : 31/08/2015 This application was called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Sureshan P.,Adv.
Mr. Ajay Saxena, Adv.

Mr. Yogesh Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Joshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia,Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.

For Respondent No.7

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Joshi, Adv.
Mrs. Lalita Kaushik,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Crl.M.Ps. for deletion of respnodent nos. 4 & 7 are
allowed.

The name of the respondent nos.4 & 7 to be deleted from
the array of the parties at the risk of the appellant.

It seems from the office report dated 17.8.2015 that
respondent no.3 is no more. Therefore, the appeal filed against

Signature Not Verified

the respondent no.3 is dismissed as abated.

Digitally signed by

Neeta Sapra

Date: 2015.09.01

17:04:57 IST

Reason:

Amended cause title be filed within two weeks.

(Sweta Dhyani)
Sr. P.A.

(Tapan Kumar Chakraborty)
Court Master

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

CRLMP. 11852/2015, CRLMP. 12903/2015 in Criminal Appeal
673/2013

No(s).

VIJAY SAHU

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND & ORS. Respondent(s)
(For deletion of proforma respondents and office report)

Date : 31/08/2015 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Sureshan P., Adv.
Mr. Ajay Saxena, Adv.

Mr. Yogesh Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Joshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.

For Respondent No.7

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Joshi, Adv.
Mrs. Lalita Kaushik, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CrI.M.Ps. for deletion of respnodent nos. 4 & 7 are allowed.

The name of the respondent nos.4 & 7 to be deleted from the array of the parties at the risk of the appellant.

It seems from the office report dated 17.8.2015 that respondent no.3 is no more. Therefore, the appeal filed against

Signature Not Verified

the respondent no.3 is dismissed as abated.

Digitally signed by

Neeta Sapra

Date: 2015.09.01

17:04:57 IST

Reason:

Amended cause title be filed within two weeks.

(Sweta Dhyani)
Sr. P.A.

(Tapan Kumar Chakraborty)
Court Master