

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.17

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 9090/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-11-2025 in WP No. 854/2012 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur]

ULHAS VINAYAK NIRMALE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BEBI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 71698/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 23-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Abhishek Bhoot, Adv.
Ms. Pankhuri Shrivastava, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma, AOR
Mr. Alekshendra Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Mr. Deepankar Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Shakul Ghatole, Adv.
Mr. Vatsalya Vigya, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. The Maharashtra Revenue Tribunal as also the High Court have concurrently held that the original respondent, Keshav Kale (*now deceased*), is the deemed tenant(s) under Section- 6 of the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Vidarbha Region and Kutch) Act, 1958 (for short, 'Tenancy

Act'). It is specifically observed by the High Court that the name of the respondent(s) was recorded as tenant in the Register of tenancy, maintained under Section 8 of the Tenancy Act and the said entry is admittedly not challenged by the petitioner and thus, it has become final.

2. Even otherwise, the respondent, Keshav Kale (now deceased), being in lawful cultivating possession of the agricultural land on the tiller's day, respondents are deemed to be tenants as the original respondent was not a member of the petitioner's family nor it is proved that he was a servant of the petitioner/landlord.

3. In view of the above, the Special Leave Petition is dismissed.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
COURT MASTER(SH)

(CHETNA BALOONI)
COURT MASTER(NSH)