

&
R.P(C)No. 1069 OF 2001

CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition(Civil) No.1069/2001 in SLP(Civil)No.3424/2001

K.G. MAL & ANR.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

SHAM BEHARI MAL (D) BY LRS.
(With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing review petition)

Respondent (s)

Date : 04/09/2001 This Petition was circulated in Chambers today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P. BHARUCHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

By Circulation

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following
O R D E R

....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

There is a delay of 112 days. The explanation is not
satisfactory. In any event, the review petition has no merit. It
is dismissed.

.SP1

(N. Annapurna)
Court Master

(Shelly Sengupta)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)

.PA

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO.1069 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
(In S.L.P.(Civil) No.3424/2001)

K.G. Mal & Anr.

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Sham Behari Mal (D) by LRs.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

There is a delay of 112 days. The explanation is not
satisfactory. In any event, the review petition has no merit. It
is dismissed.

.SP1

.....J.
(S.P. Bharucha)

.....J.
(Y.K. Sabharwal)

New Delhi,
September 04, 2001.