

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 267/2013

TRIVENI KODKANY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

Date :07/10/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Yeshwanth Shenoy, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Kiran Bharadwaj, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.Mr. T.R.Andhyarujina, Sr. Adv.
Mr. H.D. Nanawati, Adv.
Mr. Jitender Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Shakil Suri, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Kumar Suri, Adv.Mr. Praveen Jain, Adv.
Ms. Gunjan s Jain, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Soni, Adv.
for M/s. M.V. Kini & Associates, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RThe writ petition is dismissed in terms of the
signed order.

Signature Not Verified

[Charanjeet Kaur]

[Vinod Kulvi]

Digitally signed by

A.R.-cum-P.S.

Asstt. Registrar

Charanjeet Kaur
Date: 2015.10.09
18:33:09 IST
Reason:

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 267 OF 2013

TRIVENI KODKANY

..PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. We have heard learned counsel for the
parties to the lis.

2. After going through the records of the case, we are of the considered opinion that no case is made out to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution.

3. The Writ petition is dismissed accordingly.

4. However, permission is granted to the petitioner to file appropriate civil suit, if the law of limitation so permits.

.....CJI.
[H.L. DATTU]

.....J.
[ARUN MISHRA]

NEW DELHI,
OCTOBER 07, 2015.