

ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.1

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 267/2013

TRIVENI KODKANY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.  
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

Date :07/10/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Yeshwanth Shenoy, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Kiran Bharadwaj, Adv.  
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr. T.R.Andhyarujina, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. H.D. Nanawati, Adv.  
Mr. Jitender Sethi, Adv.  
Mr. Shakil Suri, Adv.  
Mr. Shiv Kumar Suri, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Jain, Adv.  
Ms. Gunjan s Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Soni, Adv.  
for M/s. M.V. Kini & Associates, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The writ petition is dismissed in terms of the  
signed order.

[ Charanjeet Kaur ]  
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[ Vinod Kulvi ]  
Asstt. Registrar

[ Signed order is placed on the file ]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 267 OF 2013

TRIVENI KODKANY ..PETITIONER(S)  
VERSUS  
UNION OF INDIA & ORS. .. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. We have heard learned counsel for the parties to the *lis*.
2. After going through the records of the case, we are of the considered opinion that no case is made out to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution.
3. The Writ petition is dismissed accordingly.
4. However, permission is granted to the petitioner to file appropriate civil suit, if the law of limitation so permits.

.....CJI.  
[ H.L. DATTU ]

.....J.  
[ ARUN MISHRA ]

NEW DELHI,  
OCTOBER 07, 2015.