

C.A.No. 1069 OF 2001
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
ITEM NO. 105 COURT NO. 5 SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1069/2001

Municipal Corpn. Jalandhar .. Appellant (s)

Vs.

Kasturi Lal Khurana & Ors. .. Respondent(s)

(With office report)

DATE : 6.3.2002 : This/These matter (s) was/were
called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P VENKATARAMA REDDI

For Appellant (s) : Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent (s) : Mr. S.L. Taneja, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Vipin Gogia, Adv.
Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, Adv.
Mr. T.N. Arora, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

Learned counsel for the parties argued from 10.50
a.m. to 11.105 a.m.

The appeal is dismissed.

.SP1

Charanjit

[Om Prakash]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1069/2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Municipal Corpn. Jalandhar .. Appellant

Vs.

Kasturi Lal Khurana & Ors. .. Respondents

O_R_D_E_R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

We do not think it is a fit case for our
interference under Article 136 of the Constitution. The
appeal is dismissed accordingly.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[K.G. BALAKRISHNAN]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[P. VENKATARAMA REDDI]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAA
March 6, 2002 @@
AAAAAAAAA