

(  
SLP(C)No. 14037 OF 2000

ITEM No.205

Court No. 1

SECTION IVB  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.14037/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 22/05/2000 in CWP 6300/2000  
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAM LAL AND ANR.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

FINANCIAL COMMNR. REVENUE, HARYANA & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for permission to submit additional document(s),  
exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief)  
(For Final Disposal)

Date : 14/12/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BISHESHWAR PRASAD SINGH

For Petitioner (s) Mr. S.K. Sabharwal,Adv. (N/P)

For Respondent (s) Mr. Suresh C. Gupta,Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Pal,Adv.  
Mr. A. Guneshwar Sharma,Adv.  
Mrs.Lalita Kaushik,Adv.

Mr. A.S. Nehra,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. S. Srinivasan,Adv.  
Mr. Mahabir Singh,Adv.

Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain,Adv.  
Mr. J.P. Dhanda,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I..T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
There is a letter on record on behalf of the  
petitioners to say that the arguing counsel of the  
petitioners will seek an adjournment for two weeks on the  
grounds of demise in his family. The application is opposed  
...2/-

on the ground that adjournments have been taken in the past. We are concerned with the advocate on-record and would grant an adjournment if there had been some difficulty with him. we do not grant adjournments for the convenience of counsel. The application for adjournment is refused.

The advocate who had made the application for the petitioners is not present nor is anyone else. The special leave petition is dismissed.

(T.I. Rajput)  
Court Master

(Shelly Sengupta)  
Court Master