

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO. 1068 OF 2012 IN SLP(C)NO. 26452/2011

SITA BAI CHOUBEY Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BOARD OF REVENUE, CHHATISGARH & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

Date: 18/07/2012 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Review Petition is dismissed as barred by time and also on merits.

[Charanjeet Kaur]	[Phoolan Wati Arora]	
Court Master	Court Master	

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 1068 OF 2012

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) No. 26452 OF 2011

Sita Bai Choubey	..	Petitioner(s)	
------------------	----	---------------	--

Versus

|Board of Revenue, Chhatisgarh & Ors.

|.. Respondent(s) |

O R D E R

1. This is a petition for review of order dated 25th November, 2011 passed in SLP(C) No. 26452 of 2011.

2. There is a delay of 109 days in filing the review petition. The explanation given by the petitioner for delay in filing the review petition is wholly unsatisfactory. Therefore, the application for condonation of delay is liable to be dismissed and the review petition is liable to be dismissed as barred by time. Even otherwise, after having gone through the review petition and the record of the special leave petition, we do not find any justification to review order dated 25th November,2011. The review petition is accordingly dismissed as barred by time and also on merits.

.....J.
[G.S. SINGHVI]

.....J.
[SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA]

NEW DELHI,
JULY 18, 2012.