

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.9

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20922-20923/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 17/05/2010 in RP No. 1469/2010 & RP No. 1470/2010 of The NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

SRI RAMAKRISHNA HSE.BUILDG.COOP.ST.&ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

N.SHANTHA & ANR ETC.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bring on record subsequent event and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 11301-11302 of 2011

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 01/08/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Basava Prabhu S.Patil, Sr.Adv.
Ms.Mahalakshmi Pavani, Adv.
Mr.G.Balaji, Adv.
For M/S.Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy,Adv.

Mr.Sampat Anand Shetty, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal,A.O.R.(Not Present)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

These petitions are directed against orders dated

17.05.2010 and 08.04.2011 passed by the National Consumer Disputes

Redressal Commission whereby the revisions filed by the petitioners

against the orders of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal

2

Commission ordaining payment of Rs.4,51,000/- along with interest

at the rate of 18% from the date of deposit were dismissed.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and are satisfied that the orders under challenge do not suffer from any patent legal infirmity requiring interference under Article 136 of the Constitution.

The special leave petitions are accordingly dismissed.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Renuka Sadana)
Court Master