

ö'

W.P(C)No. 499 OF 1997  
ITEM No.202

Court No. 2

SECTION PIL  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.499/1997

"IN RE: ENFORCEMENT & IMPLEMENTATION OF DOWRY PROHIBITION ACT, 1961"

( For Final Disposal )

Date : 16/01/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

For Petitioner (s)Mr. Raj Kumar Mehta, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

FOR UNION OF INDIA Mr. N.N. Goswami, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Anjani Aiyagiri, Adv.  
Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.  
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

State of A.P.Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.  
Mr. K. Subba Rao & Ms. O.S.G. Prasuna, Advs.

State of Arunachal PradeshMr. Anil Shrivastava, Adv.

State of AssamMs. Krishna Sarma, Adv.  
Mr. V.K. Siddharthan, Adv.  
Mr. Niraj Kumar, Adv.  
For M/s Corporate Law Group, Advs.

State of BiharMr. B.B. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.

State of ChhatisgarhMr. Prakash Shrivastava, Adv.

Delhi AdministrationMr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.  
Ms. Smita Inna, Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.  
Mr. Satbir Pillania, Adv.  
Ms. Varuna Bhandari Gugnani, Adv.  
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

State of GoaMs. A. Subhashini, Adv.

State of GujaratMs. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.  
Ms. Sadhna Sandhu, Adv.

State of HaryanaMr. Satinder S. Gulati, Adv.  
Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur Gulati, Adv.  
Ms. Kavita Wadia, Adv.

State of H.P.Mr. J.S. Attri, Adv.

State of J&KMr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.

State of JharkhandMr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

State of KarnatakaMr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

State of KeralaMr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.

State of M.P.Mr. Sakesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri, Adv.

State of ManipurMr. KH. Nobin Singh, Adv.

State of MizoramMs. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Ms. Sadhna Sandhu, Adv.

State of MaharashtraMr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. giri, Adv.

State of MeghalayaMr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

State of NagalandMr. U. Hazarika, Adv.

Ms. Madhvi Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

NCT of DelhiMr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

State of OrissaMs. Jana Kalyan Das, Adv.

State of PunjabMr. Sarup Singh, Sr. Addl. Adv. Genl.

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Suri, Adv.

State of RajasthanMr. Ranji Thomas, Adv.

Ms. Bharti Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

State of SikkimMr. A. Mariarputham, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

For M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co., Advs.

State of Tamil NaduMr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

State of TripuraMs. Mohana Lal, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Mehta, Adv.

Mr. B. Agarwalla, Adv.

State of U.P.Mr. Ravi P. Mehrotra, Adv.

Ms. Deepti R. Mehrotra, Adv.

Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv.

State of UttaranchalMs. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Singh, Adv.

State of West BengalMr. H.K. Puri, Adv.

Mr. Ujjwal Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Puri, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Gupta, Adv.

U.T. Of Andaman & NicobarMr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.

Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.

Ms. Smita Inna, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

U.T. Of Dadra & Nagar Haveli Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri Adv.  
Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mehra, Adv.

U.T. Of Daman & Diu Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.  
Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mehra, Adv.

U.T. Of Lakshadweep Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.  
Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

U.T. Of Pondicherry Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav, Adv.

Mr. K.R. Nagaraja, Adv.

Mr. K. Ram Kumar, Adv.

Ms. S. Janani, Adv.

Mr. K.S. Bhati, Adv.

Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Mehta, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Rai, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.

Mr. R.C. Verma, Adv.

Ms. Rachna Shrivastava, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The States of Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh have taken a stand that they have decided to adopt the Rules but the final decision and enforcement of Rules is yet to take place. Let this be done positively within a period of six weeks from today.

The States of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu are allowed four weeks time for compliance. The learned Amicus Curiae states that the Union Territory of Dadra & Nagar Haveli and the State of Meghalaya do not have incidents of dowry and dowry related incidents. They are directed to be deleted from the array of parties.

The States of Chhatisgarh and Rajasthan and the Union Territory of Pondicherry are allowed six weeks time for amending the Rules.

KALYANI  
(RADHA R. BHATIA)

COURT MASTER