

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 426 OF 2013 IN SLP(CRL) 1095/2013

DEEPAK AGGARWAL AND ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. AND ANR. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

Date: 01/08/2013 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

There is a delay of 4 days in filing of this review petition directed against our order dated 15th March, 2013 in S.L.P. (Crl.) No.1095 of 2013, which is hereby condoned.

We have examined the grounds urged in support of the prayer for review. We find no error apparent on the face of the record to warrant recall of our order mentioned above. The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

| | | | |
|-----------------|--|---------------|--|
| (Mahabir Singh) | | (Veena Khara) | |
| Court Master | | Court Master | |

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION (CRL) NO.426 OF 2013
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL.) NO.1095 OF 2013

Deepak Aggarwal & Anr. ...Petitioners

Versus

State of M.P. & Anr. ...Respondents

O R D E R

There is a delay of 4 days in filing of this review petition directed against our order dated 15th March, 2013 in S.L.P. (Crl.) No.1095 of 2013, which is hereby condoned.

We have examined the grounds urged in support of the prayer for

review. We find no error apparent on the face of the record to warrant recall of our order mentioned above. The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

.....J.
(RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI)

New Delhi
August 1, 2013