

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 989 OF 2012

K. KOTESWARAMMA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

There is no merit in this appeal and, therefore, we find no reason to interfere with the order so passed by the High Court.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

..... J.
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

..... J.
(R.K. AGRAWAL)

New Delhi;
February 24, 2015.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Vishal Anand
Date: 2015.02.26
17:05:47 IST
Reason:
ITEM NO.109

COURT NO.13

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 989/2012

K. KOTESWARAMMA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for bail and permission to file additional documents and office report)

Date : 24/02/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Appellant(s) Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Needless to say that the appellant, who is on bail, shall
surrender herself within a period of two weeks from the date of
communication of this Order before the concerned Court to serve
out the remaining period of sentence.

(R.NATARAJAN)
Court Master

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)