

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A.SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11677/2011

NIRMAL KUMAR JOSHI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 06/01/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Divyesh Pratap Singh, Adv.
Ms. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. O.P. Gaggar, Adv.
Mr H.L.Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. T.N. Singh ,AdvUPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner and respondent

Nos. 5 to 10 represented through Mr Divyesh Pratap Singh and

Mr H.L.Srivastava are present.

The office report indicates that affidavit of dasti is to be filed on record in respect of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 while scrutiny of the record indicates that respondent No.2 onwards have been served on record. In fact in all there are 15 respondents and there seems to be ambiguity in the office report submitted on record.

-2-

Item No.67

Registry is directed to submit a clear defined report on record explaining the position of all the respondents so as to facilitate the passing of order.

Meanwhile as regards respondent No.1, steps to be taken for getting the notice served by adopting appropriate mode permissible under the rule.

List again on 31.1.2012.

(M.A.SAYEED)
REGISTRAR

hj