

P

C.A.No. 6310 OF 1998
ITEM No.63 (Part-Heard)

Court No.7

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No.6310/1998

CHIEF OF MARKT.MARKT.DIV.COAL (I)LTD&ANR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MEWAT CHEMICALS AND TINY S.S.I. AND ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With appln.(s) for directions and c/delay in filing reply affidavit and permission to submit additional documents and amendment of cause title and office report)
With

C.A.No.1063/1999

(With appln.(s) for modification/variation of Court's order dated 19.2.1999 and 25.2.1999 and office report)

With

CONMT.PET.(Civil)No.62/2003 in C.A. No.1063/1999

With

Contempt Petition (C) No.531/2002 in C.A. No.1063/1999
(With office report)

With

Contempt Petition (C) No.102/2003 in C.A. No.6310/1998

Date : 08/12/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant (s)Mr. Harish N.Salve, Sr. Adv.
Mr. K.S. Bhati,Adv.
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Dholakia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M.P. Jha, Adv.
Mr. R. Ekbal Roy, Adv.
Mr. Harshvardhan Jha, Adv.
Mr. Anil K. Chopra, Adv.

Mr. Shanti Bhushan, Sr. Adv.
MR. Rajendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. N.D.B. Raju, Adv.
Miss Bharathi, Adv.
Miss Prerna Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. S.K. Dholakia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M.P. Jha, Adv.
Mr. R. Ekbal Roy, Adv.

Mr. Harshvardhan Jha, Adv.
Mr. Anil K. Chopra, Adv.

Mr. Shanti Bhushan, Sr. Adv.
MR. Rajendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. N.D.B. Raju, Adv.
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Miss Bharathi, Adv.
Miss Prerna Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.

Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.

Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.
Mr. Shrinivas R. Khalap, Adv.
Mr. E. Venu Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, ASG
Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Adv.
Amita Verma, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Adjourned to 15th December, 2003.

The appellants to put on affidavit the fact that the coal which has been supplied has been taken to Haryana and such other facts as they desire to. It will be open to the respondents to show that they have used the coal in their own factory and to show what they have produced from it. If the coal supplied is not used in Haryana, the Respondents may use the coal in U.P. There will be no further supply of the coal, until further orders.

(K.K. Chawla)
Court Master

(Promila Nagpal)
Court Master