

0

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CIVIL APPEAL NO.D12643 OF 2013

ANGAD DEV

.....APPELLANT

VERSUS

SUNIL KUMAR SARDANA

....RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

This appeal is directed against the impugned order dated 20.01.2013 passed by the Disciplinary Committee of the Bar Council of India in D.C. Appeal No.40 of 2012.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and perused the record.

In our considered view, there is no legal infirmity in the impugned order warranting our interference under Section 38 of the Advocates Act, 1961.

The appeal is dismissed accordingly.

.....J  
[Chandramauli Kr. Prasad]

.....J  
[Ranjana Prakash Desai]

New Delhi;  
July 19, 2013.

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.10

SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.D12643 OF 2013

(For prel. hearing)

ANGAD DEV

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SUNIL KUMAR SARDANA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for condonation of delay in filing appeal and c/delay in re-filing appeal)

Date: 19/07/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Delay condoned.  
The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

| (Sanjay Kumar) Court Master | (Indu Satija) |  
| Court Master |

(Signed order is placed on the file)