

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F       I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

B E F O R E   T H E   R E G I S T R A R   S . G .   S H A H

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).15094/2010

VIPIN KUMAR @ VIPIN KUMAR GOUR

Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

KAILASHCHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and office report )

Date: 14/07/2011

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Sandeep Naagora, Adv.  
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Suryanaryana Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

U P O N   h e a r i n g   c o u n s e l   t h e   C o u r t   m a d e   t h e   f o l l o w i n g  
O R D E R

The ld. Advocate, Mr Sandeep Naagora appearing on behalf of Mr Himanshu Shekhar, Advocate-on-record for the petitioner states that they have moved an application for substitution before the High Court. However, he is not aware that for which respondent such application is filed. There are several respondents, some of them are yet unserved. Order to issue notice is dated 9.8.2010 when Court has also granted dasti in addition. It has been disclosed that execution proceedings are pending before the Trial Court. In view of such fact, it would be very easy for the petitioner to confirm service through such Trial Court/Executing Court. Unfortunately petitioner has failed to take appropriate steps

-2-

Item No.98

though matter is adjourned on the same count on different dates. In the interest of justice, as last chance, petitioner is permitted to confirm service of unserved respondents and to

take appropriate steps on record before 4.8.2011. If no steps  
are taken and if proof of service is not filed before  
4.8.2011, list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for non-  
prosecution.

(S.G.SHAH)  
Registrar

hj