

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 61 OF 2012

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K.HANJURA

VIVEK RAI & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND THR.REG.GEN & OR
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 17/12/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms Pooja Dhar, Adv.
Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ambhoj Kumar Sinha, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner and the ld. Counsel for the respondent No.1 are present.

Respondent No.2 has been set ex parte as is palpable from the perusal of the office report.

What gets revealed from the perusal of the office report is that the ld. counsel for the petitioner has not filed the fresh particulars of the respondent no.3. The ld. counsel for the petitioner has, however, stated that she has already done the needful. Office shall verify the position and report accordingly and in case it be found that the fresh particulars of the respondent no.3 have been filed by the ld. Counsel for the petitioner, in that event appropriate steps for the service of the said respondent shall be taken by the registry.

List again on 5.2.2014.

| | (M.K.HANJURA) |
| | REGISTRAR |