



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2026  
(@ SLP(CRL.) No. 4011/2026)

MOHAMMAD KASHIF

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. We have heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellant, and the learned ASG appearing for the respondent.
3. The appellant has been arrayed as accused in ECIR/STF/03/2023 registered by Enforcement Directorate, New Delhi for the offences punishable under Sections 3 and 4 of the Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002.
4. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellant submitted that though the earlier application was dismissed, more than four months

have elapsed since then, and considering the specific allegation against the appellant, he is entitled for enlargement on bail.

5. Learned ASG appearing for the respondent submitted that there is no change in the circumstances, and the delay is attributed to the appellant. Be that as it may, we are inclined to set aside the impugned order on the ground that the appellant has been under incarceration for three years, and also for the reason that the amount involved is only 1.1 crore.
6. Accordingly, the impugned order stands set aside and the appellant is granted bail, subject to the terms and conditions that may be imposed by the Trial Court. The Trial Court may impose an additional condition that the appellant shall not use the name of high dignitaries, and shall cooperate with the trial. If the appellant is not cooperating with the trial, liberty is given to the respondent to move the Trial Court for cancellation of bail.
7. The appeal is allowed, accordingly.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.  
[NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH]

NEW DELHI;  
18<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2026

ITEM NO.8

COURT NO.5

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)  
No(s). 4011/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order  
dated 05-02-2026 in CRMA No. 17362/2025 passed by  
the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

MOHAMMAD KASHIF

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT

Respondent(s)

Date : 18-05-2026 This petition was called on for  
hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddharth Dave, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Nitesh Rana, Adv.  
Mr. Vivek Jain, AOR  
Ms. Suchitra Kumbhat, Adv.  
Mr. Sadiq Noor, Adv.  
Ms. Raksha Tripathi, Adv.  
Mr. Rohit H Nair, Adv.  
Ms. Benila Bm, Adv.  
Mr. Angad Pathak, Adv.  
Ms. Tanisha Nagpal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Suryaprakash V. Raju, A.S.G.  
Mr. Zoheb Hussain, Adv.  
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.  
Mr. Arkaj Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made  
the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.  
Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed  
of.

(SWETA BALODI) (POONAM VAID)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS ASSISTANT REGISTRAR  
(Signed order is placed on the file)