

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) No.444 OF 2009

SAPNA ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

HEMANT KUMAR ... Respondent(s)

ORDER

1. Despite service of notice, no one appears on behalf of the respondent/husband to oppose the transfer petition, when it is taken up for consideration.

2. This transfer petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner/wife seeking transfer of Petition No.70 of 2009, (Hemant Kumar Vs. Smt. Sapna), pending before the District Judge, Alwar, Rajasthan, to the District Judge, Faridabad, Haryana.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the petition, we allow the same.

4. Let the Petition No.70 of 2009, pending before the District Judge, Alwar, Rajasthan, be transferred to the District Judge, Faridabad, Haryana, to be heard and disposed of by the competent court.

(ALTAMAS KABIR)

.....J.

.....J.
(SWATANTER KUMAR)

New Delhi,
January 07, 2010.
ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVIA

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 444 OF 2009

SAPNA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HEMANT KUMAR Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 07/01/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Deepak Thukral, Adv.
Mr. Amit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Umed Singh Gulia, Adv.

Mr. Shree Pal Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the
signed order.

(Sheetal Dhingra) (Juginder Kaur)
Court Master Court Master
[Signed order is placed on the file]