

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).13665/2013  
(From the judgement and order dated 26/03/2013 in WP No.3045/2013 of the  
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY)

TRADE FEDERATION OF TRADE ASS. OF PUNE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS. Respondent(s)  
(With prayer for interim relief and appln.for intervention)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 14963 of 2013  
(With prayer for interim relief, appln. for permission to file  
addl.documents and exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 14977 of 2013  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 14983 of 2013  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 14988 of 2013  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 14996 of 2013  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 16125 of 2013  
(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and  
permission to file addl.documents and with prayer for interim relief and  
office report)

Date: 10/05/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Makrand D.Adkar, Adv.  
Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.

Mr.Mukul Rohtagi, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Braj K.Mishra, Adv.  
Mr.Vijay Kumar, Adv.  
Mr.Pankaj Yadav, Adv.  
Mr.Vishwajit Singh, Adv.

Mr.R.F.Nariman, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Atul Y.Chitale, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Girish Kulkarni, Adv.  
Ms.Sanyukta Mukherjee, Adv.  
Mr. Abhijat P. Medh, Adv.

Mr.K.K.Venugopal, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.  
Mr.Brij Kishor Sah, Adv.  
Mr.Anish B.Shah, Adv.  
Mr.Rahul Jain, Adv.

Mr.S.K.Jain Adv.

Mr.C.Aryama Sundaram, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr.Shankar Narayanan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Shekhar Naphade, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Sanjay V.Kharde, Adv.  
Mr.Sandeep K.Shinde, Adv.  
Mr.Chinmoy Khaladkar, Adv.  
Ms.Shubangi Tuli, Adv.  
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair,Adv.

Mr.U.U.Lalit, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Vinay Navare, Adv.  
Mr.Keshav Ranjan, Adv.  
Mr.Satyajeet Kumar, Adv.  
Mrs.Abha R.Sharma, Adv.

Mr.V.A.Mohta, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.V.N.Raghupathy, Adv.  
Mr.Devansh Mohta, Adv.  
Mr.N.Nayak, Adv.  
Mr.Lagnesh Mishra, Adv.

Mr.Sumit Kumar, Adv.  
Mr.Saurabh Singh, Adv.  
Mr.Ali Mushtaq, Adv.

Mr.Bharat Sangal, Adv.  
Mr.R.R.Kumar, Adv.  
Mr.Sunil Trilokchandani, Adv.

Mrs.Jayashree Wad, Adv.  
Mr.Mayank Kshirsagar, Adv.  
Mr.Aditya Gupte, Adv.  
Ms.Aditi Dixit, Adv.  
For M/s.J.S.Wad & Co., Adv.

Mr.Gagan Sanghi, Adv.  
For Mr.Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

Mr.Suhas Kadam, Adv.  
For M/s.Lexman Lawyers & Co., Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Being aggrieved by the refusal for grant of interim prayer(s) by the High Court, the petitioners are before us by way of these Special Leave Petitions.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, we are not inclined to entertain these Special Leave Petition(s) in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

However, in view of financial implications involved in all these matters the High Court has thought it fit that primarily the Writ Petitions filed by the various organizations and individuals requires to be heard at the earliest.

Therefore, while disposing of these Special Leave Petitions, we request the High Court to dispose of the Writ Petitions as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this Court's order.

Mr.R.F.Nariman, learned senior counsel appearing for one of the petitioners would submit that he may at least be permitted to make

appropriate application before the High Court to seek extension of the interim orders granted earlier while disposing of the request made for grant of interim prayer. The submission so made by the learned senior counsel is seriously opposed by Shri Naphade, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent-State of Maharashtra.

We are not inclined to express any opinion on the request made by Shri Nariman, learned senior counsel. However, we observe, that, if and when such an application is filed, it is for the High Court to decide the same in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

(G.V.Ramana)  
Court Master

(Vinod Kulvi)  
Asstt.Registrar