

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 26 OF 2000

CHANDER PAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

LALA RAM DOR (DEAD) BY LRS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 13/04/2005 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Bhupesh Narula, Adv.

for M/s K.L. Mehta & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

We do not find any merit in this appeal, which is, accordingly,
dismissed.

However, the appellant is granted time till 31st
January, 2006, to vacate the premises in question, on filing a usual undertaking in this Court with
a period of six weeks' from today. Needless to say that
respondents feel aggrieved by this order, it would be open to them to
Court for its recall.

No costs.

[Alka Dudeja]
Court Master

[Om Prakash]
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 26 OF 2000

Chander Pal
...Appellant (s)

Versus

Lala Ram Dor (Dead) by Lrs.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

We do not find any merit in this appeal, which is, accordingly, dismissed.

However, the appellant is granted time till 31st January, 2006, to vacate the premises in question, on filing a usual undertaking in this Court within a period of six weeks'

from today. Needless to say that in case the respondents feel aggrieved by this order, it

would be open to them to move this Court for its recall.

No costs.

.....J.

(B.N. Agrawal)

.....J.

(H.K. Sema)

New Delhi,

April 13, 2005.