

SECTION XII

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL Nos. 10478-10498 OF 2011

The Secretary/Correspondent & Anr. etc. ...Applicants
Versus
S. Willson & Ors. etc. ...Respondents

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL Nos. 10506-10510 OF 2011

The Correspondent, Schaffter Higher
Secondary School & Ors. ...Appellants
Versus

A.M. Sankey John & Anr. etc. ...Respondents

WITH

INTERLOUCTORY APPLICATION NOS. 7-11, 12 and 13

(Application for permission to bring on record additional
facts and additional document)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2353-2355 OF 2017

The Secretary/Correspondent
Sarah Tucker College (Autonomous) ...Appellant
Versus

V. Kavitha Elzie & Ors. ...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the
Hon'ble Court on 9th February, 2017, when the Court was
pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Civil Appeal Nos. 10478-10498 of 2011 and SLP (C)
No. 7538-7540 of 2015**

Leave granted in SLP (C) No. 7538-7540
of 2015 .

Heard the learned counsel appearing for
the parties.

Arguments concluded.

We direct the Management to get
instruction as to whether the respondents
concerned can be considered for selection for
appointment to the posts, which are vacant in
Botany and English Departments, without prejudice
to the contentions available to either parties.

Civil Appeal Nos. 10506-10510 of 2011

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Arguments remained unconcluded.

List the appeals on 16.02.2017 as Part Heard.

The two teachers who have been promoted from the posts of Librarian and Physical Education Teacher will get instruction as to whether they want to continue in the said posts without prejudice to their contentions taken before this Court.

The parties are at liberty to file their written submissions before the next date of hearing. "

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Seshatalpa Sai Bandaru, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama and memo of appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 10506 of 2011 after taking no objection from Mr. Romy Chacko, erstwhile counsel. The Counsel has on 15th February, 2017 filed additional documents alongwith application for permission to bring on record additional facts and additional documents. The application has been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 7-11 and is placed below for kind perusal of Hon'ble Court.

Subsequently, the matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 16th February, 2017, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"List on 22.02.2017"

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Seshatalpa Sai Bandaru, Advocate has filed has 20th February, 2017 and 28th February, 2017 filed additional documents alongwith application for permission to bring on record additional facts and additional documents. The applications have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 12 and 13 respectively and are placed below for kind perusal of Hon'ble Court.

Civil Appeal Nos. 10478-10498 of 2011

It is submitted that there are 27 respondents in these matters. Respondent Nos. 1, 4, 7, 8, 12 to 22, 24, 26 and 27 are represented by Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate. Respondent nos. 9 and 25 are represented by Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna and Mr. B. Srinivasan, Advocates respectively. In respect of respondent Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 11 and 23, service of notice is complete at Special Leave Petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service of notice is complete on behalf of all the respondent.

It is further submitted that Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Advocate for respondent in Civil Appeal No. 10496 of 2011 has on 3rd September, 2014 filed an affidavit alongwith Annexure R-I and the same has been placed with Appeal paper books.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 10506-10510 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are eight respondents in these appeals.

Respondent Nos. 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8 are represented by Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2, 5 and 7 are represented by Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Advoccate.

Service is complete on behalf of all the respondents.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the parties do not desire to file statement of cases and they have adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of cases.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2353-2355 OF 2017

It is submitted that there are four common respondents. Respondent no. 1 is represented by Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Advocate and he has also filed counter affidavit and copy of the same has been included in SLP paper books. A.D. card duly signed has been received from respondent No. 4, but no one has entered appearance so far.

It is further submitted that respondent Nos. 2 and 3, who are common in Civil Appeal Nos. 10478-10498 of 2011 and their service of notice is complete at Special Leave Petition stage by way of affidavit of dasti service, but no one has entered appearance so far.

The matters alongwith application above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this common office report.

Dated this, the 2nd day of March, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mr. Seshatalpa Sai Bandaru, Advocate
Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate.
Mr. R. Chandrachud, Advocate.
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Advocate.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate
Mr. Rauf Rahim, Advocate
Mrs. Rachna Gupta, Advocate
Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate
Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac