

ITEM NO.23

COURT NO.17

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8929/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-02-2026 in WA No. 108/2025 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

THE BANGALORE CITY CO-OPERATIVE
HOUSING SOCIETY LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 70129/2026 - APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION

Date : 13-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Thakur, AOR
Mr. Narveer Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Siddhartha Sati, Adv.
Ms. Nishi Sangtani, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Dilip Singh, Adv.
Ms. Maahi Singh, Adv.
Mr. Karthik, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Jitesh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Jagadish Kumar Jha, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Laxmikant Upadhyay, Adv.
Dr. Vinod Kumar Tewari, AOR

Mr. R. Basant, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Vipasha Singh, AOR
Mr. Akash Rajeev, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Nulli, Sr. Adv.

Dr. Vinod Kumar Tiwari, AOR
Mr. Dilip Singh, Adv.
Mr. R. Karthik, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Heard Mr. Dhruv Mehta, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr. R. Basant, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 5 to 8, who are supporting the petitioner and Mr. Sanjay Nuli, learned senior counsel appearing for the intervenor.
2. It transpires from the record that during the pendency of the writ appeal before the High Court, by order of 19.06.2025, the matter was referred to mediation to resolve the dispute between the petitioner-Society herein and respondent Nos. 5(a) to 5(c), who are representing by Mr. R. Basant, learned senior counsel. It further transpires from page 305 (PDF 341) of the paperbook that the parties arrived at a settlement whereby approximately 2 acres 34 guntas were to go to the petitioner herein and 5 acres and 9 1/2 guntas, out of the total 8 acres (approximately), were to go to the land owners.
3. When the writ appeal came up for hearing, thereafter, repeated opportunities were granted to the Government to obtain instructions. However, the Government did not respond and consequently the impugned order came to be passed

confirming the order of the learned Single Judge. The learned Single Judge had remitted the matter back to the Government to take a final decision with regard to the de-notification.

4. Issue notice to ascertain the views of respondent No.1- State of Karnataka, returnable on 27.4.2026.

5. On the next date of hearing, learned counsel appearing for the State may obtain appropriate instructions keeping in view all the aforesaid aspects and place the State's position before this Court.

6. Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

7. Liberty is granted to serve through the Standing Counsel for the State of Karnataka.

(DEEPAK SINGH)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(AKSHAY KUMAR BHORIA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)