



2011 and Respondent No. 2 in SLP(C) No. 22664 of 2011.

It is further submitted that in SLP(C) No. 22663/2011, respondent No. 9 is reported to be dead and counsel for the petitioner has not taken any steps for substitution of deceased respondent No. 9 so far.

Service of show cause notice is not complete.

The matters above mention were lastly listed before the Registrar Court on 01.05.2014 when the following order was passed :

" In this batch of matters, despite specific directions passed on the last date, the learned counsel for the petitioner has not taken any steps for effecting service on respondent Nos.2 and 10 in SLP(C) No.22663/2011, respondent No.2 in SLP(C) No.22664/2011 as well as for substitution of the legal representatives of the deceased respondent No.9 in SLP(C) No.22663/2011.

The office report on default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

Remaining respondents are reported to be duly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Counter affidavit on behalf of common respondent No.3 seems to have been filed through the Learned Advocate, Mr. Mohan Lal Sharma."

The default of the counsel for the petitioner for not taking steps for issuance of fresh show cause notice to unserved Respondents Nos. 2 and 10 in SLP 22663 of 2011 and R. No. 2 in SLP 22664 of 2011 and for not taking steps for substitution of dead. R. No. 9 in SLP 22663 of 2011 is listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber with this office report.

Dated this the 10th day of May, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR