

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 8056 OF 2001

M/S. BHARATHAN THEATRES LTD. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

V.T. RANGANAYAKI ANNI & ANR. Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 3450-3451 of 2010
(With office report)

Date: 04/02/2013 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

For Appellant(s)/
Respondent(s)

Mr. K.K. Mani, Adv.
Mr. A. Lakshminarayan, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Krishna, Adv.

Mr. K. Ramamurthy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Adhi Venkataraman, Adv.
Mr. Hari Shankar K, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Verma, Adv.
Mr. Sriram J. Thalapathy, Adv.

Mr. S. Gowthaman, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Civil Appeal No.8056/2001 stands disposed of, in terms of
the signed order.

Civil Appeal Nos.3450-3451/2010 stand disposed of, in
terms of the separate signed order.

(O.P. Sharma) (M.S. Negi)
Court Master Court Master

(Two signed orders are placed on record)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 8056 OF 2001

M/S. BHARATHAN THEATRES LTD. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

V.T. RANGANAYAKI ANNI & ANR. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard Mr. K.K. Mani, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. K. Ramamurthy, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents.

It has been suggested by learned counsel for the parties and we have accepted that till the matter is decided by the High court against the judgment and decree of the trial court, the respondents shall not raise any objection regarding the running of the business of Cinema by the appellant or before the authority granting the licence or renewing the licence of running the cinema business.

With these observations, this appeal stands disposed of.

.....J.
[DR. B.S. CHAUHAN]

.....J.
[V. GOPALA GOWDA]

New Delhi;
February 4, 2013

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s).3450-3451 of 2010

V.T. RANGANAYAKI ANNI Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S. BHARATHAN THEATRES LTD. & ORS. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard Mr. K. Ramamurthy, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant and Mr. K.K. Mani, learned counsel for the respondents 1 to 3.

After hearing for some time, learned counsel on behalf of respondents submits that the observations made by the High Court in paragraphs 29 and 55 of its impugned judgment may be set aside.

Placing the submission of the learned counsel on behalf of the respondents on record, the observations made by the High Court in paragraphs 29 and 55 of its impugned judgment is hereby set aside. The appeals are disposed of accordingly.

However, we make it very clear that the application filed under Section 9 of the Tamil Nadu City Tenants Protection Act, 1921 by the respondent no. 1 in the proceedings before the trial Court was dismissed, we affirm the said order.

With these observations, the appeals stand disposed of, in terms of the consent.

.....J.
[DR. B.S. CHAUHAN]

.....J.
[V. GOPALA GOWDA]

New Delhi;
February 4, 2013