

ITEM NO.45

COURT NO.5

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 266/2011 in W.P.(C) No. 320/2009

RACHIT GUPTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GENERAL VIJAY KUMAR SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 22271/2011

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 22273/2011

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 22274/2011

(With appln.(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record and office report)

I.A. No.1 in C.A. No. 8171/2009

(for directions and Office Report)

I.A. Nos.4-8 in C.A. No. 8170/2009

(for directions and permission to file application for impleadment and impleadment and office report)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 419/2011 in C.A. No. 8170/2009

(With Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 320/2009

(With appln.(s) for directions and Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 528/2009

(With appln.(s) for directions and modification and Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 192/2010

(With appln.(s) for directions and Office Report)

Date : 03/02/2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Petitioner(s) Dr. Aman Hingorani, Adv.  
Ms. Priya Hingorani, Adv.  
Ms. Kunika, Adv.  
for M/s. Hingorani And Associates

Mr. Dipak Kumar Jena, AOR  
Ms. Minakshi Ghosh Jena, Adv.  
Mr. Jaladhar Das, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Dipak Kumar Jena, AOR  
Ms. Minakshi Ghosh Jena, Adv.  
Mr. Jaladhar Das, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Talwar, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Purnima Bhat Kak, AOR  
Ms. Anita Sohani, Adv.  
Mr. Pati Raj Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Kr. Sinha, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.  
Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.  
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR  
Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.

Dr. Aman Hingorani, Adv.  
Ms. Priya Hingorani, Adv.  
Ms. Kunika, Adv.  
for M/s. Hingorani And Associates

Mr. Amit Kumar, AOR

Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that since  
there appears to be some discrepancy in the signed order

and the record of proceedings, we have been asked to deal with all the matters on the issue.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that SLP(C) No.22271 of 2011 (Army College of Medical Science Vs. Guru Govind Singh Indraprastha University & Anr.) has become infructuous since the writ petition filed in the High Court against which the special leave petition has arisen has itself been withdrawn.

It is the same situation with regard to SLP(C) No.22273 of 2011 (Army College of Medical Sciences Vs. Guru Govind Singh Indraprastha University and Anr.) and SLP(C) No.22274 of 2011 (Army College of Medical Science Vs. Guru Govind Singh Indraprastha University and Ors.).

Under the circumstances, the aforesaid special leave petitions stand dismissed as infructuous. Pending applications in these special leave petitions are accordingly disposed of.

I.A. No.1 in Civil Appeal No.8171 of 2009 and I.A. Nos.4-8 in Civil Appeal No.8170 of 2009 are dismissed as infructuous as stated by learned counsel for the applicant(s).

It is submitted that the pleadings are complete in Civil Appeal No.8170 of 2009 (Indian Medical Association & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors.) and that this appeal may be

taken up as the lead case. Accordingly, the Registry will now list Civil Appeal No.8170 of 2009 as the main case. Civil Appeal No.8171 of 2009, Writ Petition(C) No.320 of 2009, Writ Petition(C) No.528 of 2009 and Writ Petition(C) No.192 of 2010 be tagged along with this civil appeal.

Contempt Petition(C) No.266 of 2011 in Writ Petition(C) No.320 of 2009 and Contempt Petition(C) No.419 of 2011 in Civil Appeal No.8170 of 2009 will also be taken up along with these matters.

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that Writ Petition No.6076 of 2013 with tagged matters is pending in the High Court. Since we have allowed the review petition and recalled the judgment and order dated 12<sup>th</sup> May, 2011, we request the High Court to adjourn the matters until judgment is delivered in these matters.

Since the judgment and order dated 12<sup>th</sup> May, 2011 has been recalled, the hearing of these matters is expedited.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)  
AR-CUM-PS

(JASWINDER KAUR)  
COURT MASTER