

â
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C.)NO.5 OF 2014
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION(C.)NO.13596 OF 2012

SURENDRA SINGH GILL ... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

RANJEET KAUR ... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

There is a delay of 304 days in filing the review petition which has not been satisfactorily explained. Even otherwise, we have carefully gone through the Review Petition and the connected papers. We see no reason to interfere with the order impugned. The Review Petition is, therefore, dismissed both on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....J.
(H.L. DATTU)

.....J.
(SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA)

NEW DELHI;
FEBRUARY 19, 2014
CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 5 OF 2014 IN SLP(C)NO.13596/2012

SURENDRA SINGH GILL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RANJEET KAUR Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition)

Date: 19/02/2014 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as also on merits, in terms of the signed order.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master
(signed order is placed on the file)

(Vinod Kulvi)
Asstt.Registrar