

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 296 OF 2000

MAHESH PRATAP S. CHOWHAN

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

Date: 26/04/2006 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Syed Ali Ahmad, Adv.

Mr. Syed Tanweer Ahmad, Adv.

Ms. Vinita G. Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Girdhar G. Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Bandhupadhyay, Adv.

Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Dave, Adv.

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

We see no reason to interfere in the well merited concurrent finding of

facts recorded by the two courts below. The appeal devoid of merits is accordingly

dismissed. The appellant is on bail. His bail bond and sureties stand cancelled and he

is directed to be taken back to the custody to serve out the remaining part of the

sentence.

(PAWAN KUMAR)
SINGH)

COURT MASTER

(ANAND

COURT MASTER

(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.296 OF 2000

MAHESH PRATAP S. CHOWHAN
... APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH
... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

We see no reason to interfere in the well merited concurrent finding of

facts recorded by the two courts below. The appeal devoid of merits is accordingly

dismissed. The appellant is on bail. His bail bond and sureties stand cancelled and he

is directed to be taken back to the custody to serve out the remaining part of the

sentence.

.....J.

(H.K. SEMA)

.....J.

(A.K. MATHUR)

NEW DELHI ,

APRIL 26 , 2006 .