

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16183-16185/2012

K. POLY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PAVUNNY & ORS.,ETC. ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution, setting aside an abatement,
c/delay in filing substitution appln.)

Date: 09/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. B.V. Deepak, Adv.
Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Biju P. Raman, Adv.
Ms. Usha Nandini.V, Adv.
Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.
Mr. K. Rajeev, Adv.
Mr. M.F. Philip, Adv.
Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.16183/2012

In all there are 7 respondents, out of which respondent No.1 is reported to have expired. The office report indicates that the petitioner has failed to take steps for bringing his legal representatives on record. However, the learned Advocate, Mr. B.V. Deepak has made a submission at Bar that an application for carrying out the necessary

Item No.37

-2-

amendment in the cause title was already moved by him on 27.4.2012 (at F/A), as the legal representatives were already brought on record by the High Court and, therefore, he solicited the directions for carrying out the necessary amendment in the present SLP also.

Registry to process the said application for being placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions immediately.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.2 has already come on record.

Respondent Nos.3 to 7 are reported to be duly and properly served through post but none appeared on their behalf.

SLP(C) No.16184/2012

On behalf of respondent No.1, the learned Advocate, Mr. M.F. Philip has made a submission at Bar that no counter affidavit is required to be filed.

Respondent Nos.2 to 7 have failed to file counter affidavit, despite final chance granted on the last date.

So far as deceased respondent No.8 is concerned, proof of service of chamber summons is to be filed on record. Three weeks' time is granted.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.9 to 13 has already come on record.

Item No.37

-3-

Respondent No.14 has failed to file counter affidavit, despite final chance granted on the last date.

Respondent Nos.15 and 16 are reported to be duly and properly served

through post but none appeared on their behalf.

SLP(C) No.16185/2012

Four weeks' time is granted, as a final chance, to respondent No.1 for filing counter affidavit on record.

Respondent No.2 has been already deleted from the array of the respondents, as per the order of the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers dated 30.1.2013.

Respondent No.3 is granted four weeks' time for filing counter affidavit on record.

List again on 18.10.2013.

(M.A. SAYEED)
REGISTRAR

rd