ü6
SLP(Crl.)No. 3147/2000
.PL58
L.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 112 of 2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 3147 of 2000)

State of U.P. ..Appellant

Vs.

Noor Hasan & Ors. ..Respondents

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J
.SP2

Leave granted.

This is a case in which respondents were tried and acquitted for offences under Sections 302, 307 and some other offences of the Penal Code. As the trial court acquitted them the State moved to file an appeal before the High Court. But when the State moved for leave to appeal the same was declined by the High Court as per the impugned order. We issued notice to the respondents to show cause why the impugned order shall not be set aside and leave for appeal before the High Court shall not be granted. Today, we heard both sides. We did not find any good cause from the respondents as to why leave to appeal shall not be granted in the matter. Accordingly, we order that leave to file appeal before the High Court will stand granted and the appeal will stand regularised. Now it

..2/-

is for the High Court to dispose of the appeal, after affording reasonable opportunity to all the parties concerned for hearing.

This appeal is disposed of in view of the above terms.
.SP1

.....J.@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(K.T. THOMAS)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.....J.@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(DORAISWAMY RAJU)@@

BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
NEW DELHI@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
JANUARY 29, 2001 @@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
.PA
.PL58
L.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 112 of 2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 3147 of 2000)

State of U.P. ..Appellant

Vs.

Noor Hasan & Ors. ..Respondents

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J
.SP2

Leave granted.

This is a case in which respondents were tried and acquitted for offences under Sections 302, 307 and some other offences of the Penal Code. As the trial court acquitted them the State moved to file an appeal before the High Court. But when the State moved for leave to appeal the same was declined by the High Court as per the impugned order. We issued notice to the respondents to show cause why the impugned order shall not be set aside and leave for appeal before the High Court shall not be granted. Today, we heard both sides. We did not find any good cause from the respondents as to why leave to appeal shall not be granted in the matter. Accordingly, we order that leave to file appeal before the High Court will stand granted and the appeal will stand regularised. Now it

..2/-

is for the High Court to dispose of the appeal, after affording reasonable opportunity to all the parties concerned for hearing.

This appeal is disposed of in view of the above terms.
.SP1

.....J.@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(K.T. THOMAS)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.....J.@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(DORAISWAMY RAJU)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
NEW DELHI@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
JANUARY 29, 2001 @@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.PA

ITEM No.25 Court No. 4 SECTION II
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 3147/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 15/10/1999 in GA 1020/95
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

STATE OF U.P. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

NOOR HASAN & ORS. Respondent (s)
(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing O.T.)

Date : 29/01/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Petitioner (s)
Mr. Pramod Swarup,Adv.
Ms. Praveen Swarup,Adv.
For Respondent 6
Mrs M. Qamaruddin,Adv.

for 1-5, 7-9 Mr. Vijay Bahuguna,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ramesh Chandra Pandey,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R
.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.

.SP2
Leave granted.
Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.
.SP1

(N.K. GOEL) (H.K. BHATIA) @@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(Signed order is placed on the file)