

LISTED ON : 05.10.2016  
COURT NO. : 01  
ITEM NO. : 109

SECTION-XII A  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS.3791-3793, 3794-3796, 3797-3799, 3800-3802, 3803, 3804-3806, 3807-3809 & 3810 OF 2011.**

WITH

**PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(C) NO.19838 OF 2010.**

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.13-15 IN C.A. NOS.3791-3793 OF 2011  
(Applications for withdrawal of Appeals filed by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.16-18 of 2016 IN C.A. NOS.3791-3793 OF 2011

(Applications for substitution of State of Telangana in place of State of Andhra Pradesh filed by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.4-6 IN C.A. NOS.3797-3799 OF 2011

(Applications for intervention filed by Mr. N. Rajaraman, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.10-12 OF 2015 IN C.A. NOS.3794-3796 OF 2011

(Applications for modification of Court's order dated 25.04.2011 filed by Mrs. C. K. Sucharita, Advocate )

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.16-18 2016 in C.A.NOS. 3794-3796 of 2011

(Applications for modification of Court's order dated 10.08.2015 filed by Mrs. C. K. Sucharita, Advocate )

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 7-9/2013 in C.A.NOS. 3804-3806 of 2011

(Application for transposition of Respondent as Appellant filed by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate)

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA & ORS. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

- VERSUS -

RAO V.B.J. CHELIKANI & ORS ETC. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

**OFFICE REPORT**

The I.A. Nos.13-15 OF 2016 i.e. applications for withdrawal of the appeals in C.A. Nos. 3791-3793 of 2011, were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following

Order:

“Heard.

By our Order dated 26<sup>th</sup> August, 2014, we had impleaded the State of Telangana as a party-appellant to these appeals. Learned counsel of State of Telangana has pursuant to that order filed a fresh cause title which does not show the State of Andhra Pradesh as an appellant although the appeals were initially filed on behalf of the undivided State of Andhra Pradesh and State of Andhra Pradesh even after bifurcation continues to be a party as an appellant. We accordingly direct that learned counsel of State of Telangana shall file a corrected/amended cause title showing the State of Andhra Pradesh as appellant no.1 and State of Telangana as appellant no.2.

State of Telangana has also filed applications (I.As. No.13-15 of 2016) seeking withdrawal of appeals so far as the said State is concerned. These interlocutory applications have been stoutly opposed by learned counsel appearing for the respondents as also by learned counsel of the State of Andhra Pradesh who submit that the impugned order passed by the High Court affects not only the State of Telangana but also the State of Andhra Pradesh. Mr. Dushyant A. Dave, learned senior counsel appearing for the State of Telangana, however, submits that State of Telangana is no longer interested in assailing the order passed by the High Court and that the challenge to that extent is sought to be withdrawn to enable the State of Telangana to take appropriate action pursuant to the order passed by the High Court. He submits that the pendency of the appeals in this Court acts as an impediment for the State of Telangana to suitably modify the Policy on the lines suggested by the High Court.

We see no real basis for that submission as the order passed by the High Court has not been stayed by this Court. Even independent of the order of the High Court, the State of Telangana shall be free to make such alterations in its policy regarding allotment of land in the State of Telangana as it may deem fit. If anyone is aggrieved of any such change in Policy, he/she can seek such redress against the change before the appropriate forum. Reserving liberty for those likely to be affected by the change, we make it clear that the State of Telangana shall

not be prevented by reasons of the pendency of these appeals to bring about any change in the Policy concerning allotment of land for housing purpose.

Mr. Dave also proposes to file an application under Section 104 of the Andhra Pradesh Reorganisation Act, 2014 for seeking substitution of the State of Telangana in place of State of Andhra Pradesh. He is free to do so with an advance copy to counsel opposite who shall be at liberty to file their objections, if any.

We are told that the matters are now ripe for final hearing and can set down for that purpose along with applications (I.As.No.13-15 of 2016) for withdrawal of civil appeal. The same shall accordingly be posted for hearing at an early date."

Subsequently the matter above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2016, When the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"As requested, list after four weeks."**

It is submitted that Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate has on 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 filed counter-affidavit in I.A. Nos. 16-17 of 2016 but he has not served its copy on all Advocates. However, the same is being circulated before the Hon'ble Court for its kind perusal.

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that pursuant to the afore-said order dated 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016, Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate for the Appellant (State of Telangana) has on 2<sup>nd</sup> May, 2016 filed Corrected/ Amended Cause Title in respect of C.A. No. 3791 of 2011 only but he has not filed Corrected/ Amended Cause Title in CA Nos. 3792-3793 of 2011. The said Amended Cause Title has been included in the paper-books.

It is further submitted that Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate for the Appellant (State of Telangana) in CA Nos. 3791-3793 of 2011 has on 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2016 filed an application for substitution of State of Telangana in place of State Andhra Pradesh as Appellant in CA Nos. 3791-3793 of 2011 and the same has been registered as I.A. Nos. 16-18 of 2016. The said application is placed with appeals paper-books.

It is also submitted that Mrs. C. K. Sucharita, Advocate has on 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 filed an affidavit on behalf of common Respondent No. 4 in CA No. 3791 of

2011 and Respondent No. 3 in CA Nos. 3792-3793 of 2011. (Indira Lagislators Mutually Aided Coperative Housing Society) in reply to the application filed by Appellant i.e. State of Telangana for substitution of State of Telangana in place of State of Andhra Pradesh as Appellant in Civil Appeal Nos. 3791-3793 of 2011 and the said affidavit has been included in the paper-books of I.A. Nos. 16-18 of 2016 for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

It is also submitted that Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate has on 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 also filed an affidavit on behalf of common Respondent No. 7 in CA No. 3791 of 2011 and Respondent No. 6 in CA Nos. 3792-3793 of 2011 in reply to the application for substitution filed by the Appellant – State of Telangana in CA Nos. 3791-3793 of 2011 and the same has been placed with the paper-books of I.A. Nos. 16-18 of 2016 for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

Service position and status of the matters above-mentioned are as under:

**C.A. Nos.3791-3793 of 2011:**

There are 10 respondents in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and 9 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3792 and 3793 of 2011. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3791 and 3792 of 2011 are represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3793 of 2011 is represented by M/s. M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent No.3 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and 2 in C.A. Nos.3792 and 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate, Common Respondent Nos.4 and 8 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 3 and 7 respectively in C.A. Nos.3792 & 3793 of 2011 are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate. Common Respondent No.6 in C.A. Nos.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No. 5 in C.A. Nos.3792 and 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No.7 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No. 6 in C.A. Nos.3792 & 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common Respondent No.9 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No.8 in C.A. Nos.3792 & 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate and Common Respondent No.10 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No. 9 in C.A. Nos.3792 & 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Respondent No.2 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. Common Respondent No.5 in C.A. Nos.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No.

4 in C.A. Nos.3792 and 3793 of 2011 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Hon'ble Court's Order dated 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2011.

Service is complete.

**C.A. Nos.3794-3796 of 2011:**

There are 12 respondents in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and 11 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3795 and 3796 of 2011. Respondent No.1 in C.A. Nos.3794 and 3795 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3796 of 2011 is represented by M/s. M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent Nos.3 to 5 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate. Common Respondent No.6 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 5 in C.A. No.3795 and 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate. Common Respondent No.8 in C.A. Nos.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 7 in C.A. Nos.3795 and 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No.9 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No.8 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common respondent No.10 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 9 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 are represented by Mr. S. Thananjayan, Advocate. Common Respondent No.11 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 10 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate and common Respondent No.12 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 11 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Respondent No.2 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. Common Respondent No.7 in C.A. Nos.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 6 in C.A. Nos.3795 and 3796 of 2011 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 9<sup>th</sup> February, 2011.

Service is complete.

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mrs. C. K. Sucharita, Advocate has on 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 filed an application for modification of the order dated 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2015 of this Hon'ble Court in I.A. Nos. 10-12 of 2015. The said applications have registered as I.A. Nos. 16-18 of 2016 and the same is being circulated herewith for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

**C.A. Nos.3797-3799 of 2011:**

There are 12 respondents in C.A. No.3797 of 2011 and 11 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3798 and 3799 of 2011. The Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 3797 and 3798 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate, Common respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate, Common respondent No. 10 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent No. 9 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 11 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent No. 10 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 6 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent No. 5 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate. Common respondent No. 9 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011, Respondent No. 8 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 12 in C.A. Nos. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent No. 11 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 7 in CA No. 3797 of 2011, Respondent No. 6 in C.A. No.3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. S. Thananjayan, Advocate and Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 3799 of 2011 is represented by M/s M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Respondent No. 2 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The names of Common Respondent No. 8 in C.A. No. 3797/ 2011, Respondent No. 7 in C.A. No. 3798/2011 and Respondent No. 7 in C.A. No. 3799/2011 have been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2011.

Service is complete.

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. N. Rajaraman, Advocate, has on 28<sup>th</sup> January, 2013, filed applications of intervention on behalf of applicant alongwith Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of Applicant. The said application has been registered as I.A. Nos.4-6 and the same is placed with the Appeal Paper Books.

**C.A. Nos.3800-3802 of 2011:**

There are 12 respondents in each of the Appeals above-mentioned. Respondent No. 1 in C.A. Nos. 3800 and 3801 of 2011 are represented by Mr.

Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate. Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 3802 of 2011 is represented by M/s M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent No. 3 in C.A. No. 3800 of 2011 and Respondent No. 2 in C.A. Nos. 3801 and 3802 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Advocate. Common respondent No. 6 in C.A. No. 3800 of 2011 and Respondent No. 5 in C.A. Nos. 3801 and 3802 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 4 in CA No. 3800 of 2011, Respondent No. 3 in CA Nos. 3801 & 3802 of 2011 and Common Respondent No. 7 in all the appeals are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 8 in all the appeals is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate, Common Respondent No. 9 in all the appeals is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Common Respondent Nos. 10 to 12 in all the Appeals are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 in C.A. No. 3800 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The name of Common Respondent No. 5 in C.A. No. 3800/ 2011 and Respondent No. 4 in C.A. No. 3801/2011 and Respondent No. 4 in C.A. No. 3802 of 2011 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 9<sup>th</sup> February, 2011.

Service is complete.

**C.A. No.3803 of 2011:**

There are 12 respondents in the Appeal. Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate; Respondent No. 4 is represented by Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Advocate; Respondent Nos. 5 and 9 are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate; Respondent No. 7 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate; Respondent No. 8 is represented by Mr. R. Neduraman, Advocate; Respondent No. 10 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate; Respondent No. 11 is represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate Respondent No. 12 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The name of Respondent No. 6 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2011.

Service is complete.

**C.A. Nos.3804-3806 of 2011:**

There are 12 respondents in C.A. No.3804 of 2011 and 11 respondents

each in C.A. Nos.3805 and 3806 of 2011. Respondent no.1 in C.A. Nos.3804 and 3805 of 2011 are represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3806 of 2011 is represented by M/s. M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in C.A. No. 3804/ 2011 and Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in C.A. No. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 are represented by Mr. S. Uday Kumar Sagar, Advocate. Common Respondent Nos. 6 & 10 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 5 & 9 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 9 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 and Respondent No. 8 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 are represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 11 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 and Respondent No. 10 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 8 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 and Respondent No. 7 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 12 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011, Respondent No. 11 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The name of Common Respondent No. 7 in C.A. No. 3804/ 2011 and Respondent No. 6 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 25<sup>th</sup> February, 2011.

Service is complete.

**C.A. Nos.3807-3809 of 2011:**

There are 13 respondents in C.A. Nos.3807 of 2011 and 12 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3808 and 3809 of 2011. Respondent No.1 in C.A. Nos.3807 and 3808 is represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocates. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3809 of 2011 is represented by M/s. M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate. Common Respondent Nos. 6 and 10 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 5 and 9 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate, Common Respondent No. 9 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent No. 8 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common Respondent No.

11 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent No. 10 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 12 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent No. 11 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Common proforma Respondent No. 13 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011, and proforma Respondent No. 12 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Advocate, Common Respondent No. 8 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent No. 7 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The names of Common Respondent No.7 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011, Respondent No. 6 in C.A. No. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 have been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2011.

Service is complete.

**C.A. No.3810 of 2011:**

There are 11 respondents in the Appeal. Respondent Nos.1 to 3, 4, 5, 9, 7, 8, 10 and 11 are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Mr. U.S. Prasad, Ms. Promila, Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Mr. R. Nedumaran, Mr. Rajeev Sharma and Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocates, respectively. Respondent No.6 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far.

Service is complete.

**PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(C) NO.19838 OF 2010:**

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court (Judge in-Chamber) on 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2014 & 26<sup>th</sup> August, 2014, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**“31.07.2014**

In this application, it is prayed that the name of respondent No. 6 be deleted from the array of parties. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

For the reasons stated in the application, permission is granted to delete the name of respondent No. 6 at the risk of the petitioner.

Amended memo of cause title be filed within four weeks. I.A. No. 3 is disposed of accordingly.

**26.08.2014**

”Applications for impleadment, already filed in respective matters for impleadment of State of Telangana, are allowed.

In the remaining matters, on oral request made by respective learned counsel, State of Telangana is impleaded.

Mr.P.Venkat Reddy, learned counsel, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Telangana.

Respective learned counsel will file the amended Memo of Parties within 10 days' time."

There are 12 respondents in the matter. Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate, has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and has also filed counter affidavit on their behalf. Mr. T.V. Ratnam and Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocates, have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 7, 5 & 9, respectively. Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 4, Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 8, Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of Respondent No.10 and Mr. Abinash K. Mishra, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of Respondent No.11 but no one has filed counter affidavit so far. M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associates, Advocates has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of new added Respondent No. 12 i.e. State of Telangana. The name of Respondent No. 6 has been deleted from array of parties vide Court's Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2014.

Service is complete.

In compliance of Circular dated 3<sup>rd</sup> December, 2014 and 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2014, Original Records have been sent back.

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that counsel for the appellants in all the Appeals except C.A. No.3810 of 2011, have filed their statement of case and counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 in C.A. No.3810 of 2011 also filed statement of case but counsel for the Respondents except C.A. No.3810 of 2011 has not filed statement of case in terms of Order XV, Rule 35(1) of Supreme Court Rules, 1966 so far. Ms. Promila, Advocate, has on 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2012, filed a letter stating therein that she has already filed statement of case on behalf of appellants in C.A. Nos.3794-3796 and 3797-3799 of 2011, who are also respondents in the Civil Appeal No. 3791-3793 of 2011 and requested therein that filing of statement of case may be dispensed with in Civil Appeal No. 3791-3793 of 2011.

It is further submitted that Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate, for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 in C.A. No.3810 of 2011 filed counter affidavit and the same is

included in the Appeal Paper Books but no rejoinder affidavit there to has been filed.

It is lastly submitted that Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate has on 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 filed an affidavit on behalf of Respondent No. 8 (Housing Society) in reply to the application filed by Appellant i.e. State of Telangana in place of State of Andhra Pradesh as Appellant in CA Nos. 3791-3793 of 2011 and copy of the same has been included in the paper-books of I.A. Nos. 16-18 of 2016 for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

The afore-said Appeals and Special Leave Petition with applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this, the 4<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate  
Andhra Pradesh Bhavan, 1, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001
2. Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate  
312, C. K. Daphtary Block
3. Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate.  
214, Lawyers Chambers (New)
4. M/s MCLM & Co., Advocates.  
59, Lawyers Chambers
5. Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate.  
28, Lawyers Chambers
6. Ms. Promila, Advocate.  
97, Lawyers Chambers
7. Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate.  
27, Old Lawyers Chambers
8. Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate.  
129, Lawyers Chambers
9. Mr. Bijoy Kr. Jain, Advocate.  
302, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Prakash Deep Building,  
7, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi
10. Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate.  
Ch. No. 4, C.K. Daphtary Block
11. Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate  
28, Lawyer's Chambers (Old)
12. Mr. S. Thananjayan, Advocate.  
16, Lawyer's Chambers (Old)
13. Mr. N. Rajaraman, Advocate.
14. Mr. Abinash K. Mishra, Advocate.  
102, New Lawyer's Chambers
15. M/s Venkat Palwai Law Associates, Advocates  
1, Ashoka Road, New Delhi

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR