

W.P(C)No. 363 OF 1999
ITEM No.112

Court No. 1

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION(C) NO.363/1999.

K. SREEDHARAN Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent (s)

(With applns. for impleading party)

Date : 20/11/2003 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

For Petitioner (s) Mr. P. Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. LN Rao, ASG
Mr. TLV Iyer, Sr. Adv.
M/s Amit Mahajan, P. Parmeswaran, BK Prasad, Advs.

M/s H. Wahi, Monica Bapna, Advs.

Mrs. VD Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.
Mr. TC Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Kirti Renu Mishra, Adv.
Mr. JS Attri, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anurag Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sarup Singh, Sr. AAG for Punjab
Mr. RS Suri, Adv.

M/s Mukesh K. Giri, SS Shinde, Advs.

M/s Niranjana Singh, Anil Katiyar, Advs.

M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.

M/s Corporate Law Group

M/s U. Hazarika, Madhvi Sharma,
Sumita Hazarika, Advs.

Mr. Ramesh Babu MR, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous in terms of the signed order.
No orders on the application for impleadment.

[Naresh Kumar] [Janki Bhatia]
AR-cum-PS Court Master
[Signed order is placed on the file.]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C)NO.363 OF 1999

K. SREEDHARAN

...

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...

RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the relief which the petitioner has claimed has already been granted and therefore the petition does not survive. It is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous.

.....CJI.
(V.N. KHARE)

.....J.
(S.B. SINHA)
New Delhi,
November 20, 2003.